Reviewer(s):	Kelly Hobbs	_										
Initial Review Date:	9/26/2025	_										
PEA Checklist Section:	6. Comparison of Alternatives											
ECORP Project	o. comparison of Atternatives	_										
Number: Section #	2020-196.03											
		Does the PEA include this				Applicant Response	CPUC 2nd Review			Applicant 2nd Response	CPUC 3rd Review	
	Item Description		item?		Notes			esolved?	Notes			Resolved?
6.1	Alternatives Comparison	Yes	No	N/A		Date:	Yes	No		Date:	Yes	No
6.1	a) Compare the ability of each alternative described in Chapter 4 against the											-
	Proposed Project in terms of its ability to avoid or reduce a potentially significant impact.											
	The alternatives addressed in this section will each be:											
	i. Potentially feasible											
	ii. Meet the underlying purpose of the Proposed Project											
	iii. Meet most of the basic Project objectives											
	iv. Avoid or reduce one or more potentially significant impacts											
	b) The relative effect of the various potentially significant impacts may be compared using the following or similar descriptors and an accompanying analysis:											
	i. Short-term versus long-term impacts											
	ii. Localized versus widespread impacts											
	iii. Ability to fully mitigate impacts											
	c) Impacts that the Applicant believes would be less than significant with mitigation may also be included in the analysis, but only if the steps listed above fail to distinguish among the remaining few alternatives.											
6.2	Alternatives Ranking. Provide a detailed table that summarizes the Applicant's comparison results and ranks the alternatives in order of environmental superiority.  If the Proposed Project does not rank #1 on the list, the Applicant should provide the rationale for selecting the Proposed Project.	<b>☑</b>					0					

**Project Name:** Horizon West Transmission's Ironwood Transmission Line